

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,670	09/22/2003	Mark C. Nicely	112300-3588	1055
29159 K&L Gates LL	7590 04/16/200 P	9	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 1135			SAGER, MARK ALAN	
CHICAGO, IL	60690		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

chicago.patents@klgates.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10668670	9/22/03	NICELY ET AL.	112300-3588

K&L Gates LLP P.O. Box 1135 CHICAGO, II 60690

EXAMINER			
M. Sager			
ART UNIT	PAPER		
3714	04012009		

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This notice of non-compliant amendment for amendment ree'd Jan 02, 2009 appears to be a bona-fide attempt to respond to prior office action but it contains a serious omission, as per MPEP 714.03, for failing to properly provide citations where newly claimed features is taught within originally filed specification. The cited reply on page 24 alleges that Applicant/Counsel believes no new matter was entered by the amendment without addressing where specific support is found in originally filed specification which is deemed to be a serious omission. In addition, although, the cited reply alleges that prior art fails to teach partlar steps/functions regarding multiple consecutive wagers in generalized manner of newly claimed invention; the reply fails to specifically address how Orenstein 2001.0028147 specifically fails where Orenstein '147 states tracking multiple consecutive wagers (abstract, para .31-32). A proper reply must address both above identified omissions to avoid abandoment.

Since the submission appears to be a bona fide attempt to provide a complete reply to the prior Office action, Applicant is given a shortened statutory period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this letter, whichever is longer, to submit a complete reply. This shortened statutory period supersedes the time period set in the prior Office action. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a).

/M. Sager/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714